1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney	
2	Office States Attorney	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DI	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRA	ANCISCO DIVISION
11		
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CR No.:
13	Plaintiff,	VIOLATIONS: 21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and 841(b)(1)(D)Conspiracy to Distribute and
14	V.	) Possess With Intent to Distribute Anabolic ) Steroids; 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and
15	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE,	(b)(1)(D)Possession With Intent To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; 18 U.S.C. §
16	GREG ANDERSON, and REMI KORCHEMNY.	) 371 and 21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a), 331(k), and 333(a)(2)Conspiracy to Defraud the United
17	KLWI KORCILIWIYI	) States Through The Introduction and ) Delivery of Misbranded Drugs With Intent
18	Defendants.	) To Defraud and Mislead, And The ) Misbranding Of Drugs Held For Sale With
19		Intent To Defraud And Mislead; 21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2)Introduction and
20		Delivery of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; 21 U.S.C. §§
21		331(k) and 333(a)(2)Misbranding Of Drugs Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud
22		And Mislead; 21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(1) Possession With Intent To Distribute Human
23		Growth Hormone; 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) Conspiracy To Launder Monetary
24		Instruments; 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(b)(i) Money Laundering; 18 U.S.C. § 2Aiding
25		and Abetting and Willfully Causing; 18 U.S.C. § 982 and 21 U.S.C. §§ 853 and 881-
26		-Criminal Forfeiture
27		SAN FRANCISCO VENUE
28	IND	ICTMENT
	_	
	INDICTMENT	

The Grand Jury charges:

2

1

## **INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS**

3

At all times relevant to this Indictment:

5

1. The Bay Area Lab Co-Operative ("Balco") was a blood-testing laboratory located in

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Burlingame, California.

- 2. SNAC System, Incorporated ("SNAC") was a nutritional supplement company operated by Victor Conte, Jr. out of Balco's office space in Burlingame, California.
- 3. Defendant Victor Conte, Jr. ("Conte") was the president and chief executive officer of Balco. While operating Balco, Conte and others conspired to distribute performance-enhancing drugs, including anabolic steroids, human growth hormone ("HGH"), erythropoietin ("EPO"), modafinil, and various other prescription drugs, to dozens of professional athletes.
- 4. Defendant James Valente ("Valente") was the vice-president of Balco. In his role as vice-president, Valente aided Conte in the distribution of performance-enhancing drugs to professional athletes.
- 5. Defendant Greg Anderson ("Anderson") was a personal trainer in the Burlingame area who purchased performance-enhancing drugs from Balco and distributed them to professional athletes.
- 6. Defendant Remi Korchemny ("Korchemny") was a track coach working in the San Francisco Bay Area who acquired performance-enhancing drugs from Conte and provided them to track athletes.
- 7. Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA), drugs were defined as articles intended for use in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in man (21 U.S.C. §321(g)(1)(B)); articles intended to affect the structure or function of the body of man (21 U.S.C. §321(g)(1)(C)); and articles intended for use as components of other drugs (21 U.S.C. § 321(g)(1)(D)). A drug intended for use in man which, because of its toxicity, or other potentiality for harmful effect, or the method of its use, or the collateral measures necessary to its use, was not safe for use except under the supervision of a practitioner licensed by law to administer such drug; and a drug which was limited by an approved application under 21 U.S.C.

§ 355 to use under the professional supervision of a practitioner licensed by law to administer such drug, could only be dispensed by a practitioner licensed by law pursuant to a lawful prescription. 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1). These drugs were commonly known as "prescription drugs."

- 8. Under the FDCA, every person upon first engaging in the manufacture, preparation, propagation, compounding, or processing of drugs in any establishment he or she owned or operated was required to immediately register his or her name, places of business, and all such establishments. 21 U.S.C. § 360(c). The terms "manufacture, preparation, propagation, compounding, or processing" included repackaging or otherwise changing the container, wrapper, or labeling of any drug in furtherance of the distribution of the drug from the original place of manufacture to the person who makes the final sale to the ultimate consumer or user. 21 U.S.C. § 360(a)(1).
- 9. The term "labeling" was defined as all labels and other printed or graphic matter upon any article or any of its containers or wrappers, or accompanying such article. 21 U.S.C. § 321(m).
  - 10. A drug was misbranded if, among other things:
    - a. its labeling was false or misleading in any particular (21 U.S.C. 352(a));
    - b. the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor (21 U.S.C. § 352(b));
    - c. the labeling on the drug did not bear adequate directions for use (21 U.S.C. § 352(f)(1));
    - d. the labeling on the drug did not bear such adequate warnings against use in those pathological conditions, and by children where its use may be dangerous to health, and against unsafe dosage and methods and duration of administration and application, in such manner and form, as were necessary for the protection of users (21 U.S.C. § 352(f)(2));
    - e. the drug was dangerous to health when used in the dosage and manner and with the frequency and duration prescribed, recommended, and suggested in the

1	labeling thereof (21 U.S.C. § 352(j)); or
2	f. the drug was a prescription drug dispensed without a prescription (21 U.S.C.
3	§ 353(b)(1)).
4	11. The term "human growth hormone" meant somatrem, somatropin, or an analogue of
5	either somatrem or somatropin. 21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(4). Somatropin was a synthetic or naturally
6	occurring growth hormone from the human pituitary gland. Somatrem was an analogue of
7	somatropin.
8	12. The term "anabolic steroid" meant any drug or hormonal substance, chemically and
9	pharmacologically related to testosterone (other than estrogens, progestins, and corticosteroids)
10	that promoted muscle growth, and included testosterone and nandrolone and their analogues. 21
11	U.S.C. § 802(41)(A).
12	COUNT ONE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and 841(b)(1)(D) Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess With Intent To Distribute Anabolic Steroids)
13	13. Paragraphs One Through Twelve are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference
14	as if set forth in full herein.
15	14. On or about and between December 1, 2001, and September 3, 2003, in San Mateo
16	County, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendants
17	VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
18	JAMES VALENTE,
19	GREG ANDERSON, and REMI KORCHEMNY,
20	and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to
21	distribute and possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled substance, to wit: the
22	anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and
23	841(b)(1)(D).
24	OVERT ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CONSPIRACY
25	15. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to attain its ends, the defendants committed the
26	following overt acts, among others, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere:
27	a. On or about December 1, 2001, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte,
28	Valente and Korchemny distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a track and field athlete.

1	b. On or about February 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte,
2	Valente and Anderson distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a professional baseball
3	player.
4	c. On or about April 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and
5	Valente distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a professional football player.
6	d. On or about September 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte,
7	Valente and Korchemny distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a track and field athlete.
8	e. On or about January 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente
9	and Anderson distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a professional baseball player.
10	f. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and Valente
11	distributed the anabolic steroid testosterone to a professional football player.
12	All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.
13	COUNT TWO: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2Possession With Intent To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)
14	16. On or about December 1, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
15	California, the defendants
16 17	VICTOR CONTE, JAMES VALENTE, and REMI KORCHEMNY
18	did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
19	substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
20	Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
21	COUNT THREE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D);18 U.S.C. § 2Possession With Intent To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)
23	17. On or about February 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
24	California, the defendants
25	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and GREG ANDERSON
27	did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
28	substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
- 11	

1	Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
2	COUNT FOUR: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2Possession With Intent To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)
3	18. On or about April 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4	California, the defendants
5 6	VICTOR CONTE, JR., and JAMES VALENTE
7	did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
8	substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
9	Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
10	COUNT FIVE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2Possession With Intent To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)
11	19. On or about September 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
12	California, the defendants
13 14	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and REMI KORCHEMNY
15	did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
16	substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
17	Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
18 19	COUNT SIX: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2–Possession With Intent To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)
20	20. On or about January 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
21	California, the defendants
22	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and
23	GREG ANDERSON
24	did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
25	substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
26	Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
27	R
28	

1	COUNT SEVEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2Possession With Intent To Distribute Anabolic Steroids; Aiding and Abetting)
2	21. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
3	California, the defendants
<b>4</b> 5	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and GREG ANDERSON
6	did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a Schedule III controlled
7	substance, to wit: the anabolic steroid testosterone, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
8	Sections 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(D) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
9	COUNT EIGHT: (18 U.S.C. § 371Conspiracy To Defraud The United States)
10	22. Paragraphs One Through Twelve are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference
11	as if set forth in full herein.
12	<u>CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD</u>
13	23. On or about and between September 1, 2000, and September 3, 2003, in San Mateo
14	County, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendants
15 16 17	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, GREG ANDERSON, and REMI KORCHEMNY,
18	and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to
19	defraud the United States, that is the defendants conspired to:
20	a. introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate commerce, with the intent to defraud and mislead, misbranded drugs, in violation of Title 21, United
21	States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2); and
22	b. misbrand a drug while it was held for sale, after receiving it in interstate commerce, with the intent to defraud and mislead in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2).
24	MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY
25	24. It was part of the conspiracy to defraud that defendants Conte, Valente, Anderson,
26	and Korchemny knowingly distributed to professional athletes an anabolic steroid in the form of
27	a testosterone-based cream, a/k/a "The Cream," without adequate directions regarding its use in
28	its labeling. The anabolic steroid had been mixed with an epitestosterone cream prior to its
- 1	

distribution to athletes specifically with the intention of balancing the user's testosterone/epitestosterone ratio, thus concealing the individual athlete's elevated testosterone level from drug testing.

- 25. It was further part of the conspiracy to defraud that defendants Conte, Valente, Anderson, and Korchemny knowingly distributed to athletes a liquid drug "The Clear," a/k/a tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a "THG," without adequate directions regarding its use in its labeling, and recommended the substance to athletes as a "designer steroid," or "steroid-like derivative," which would provide "steroid-like" effects without causing the athlete to test positive for steroids.
- 26. It was further part of the conspiracy to defraud that defendants Conte, Valente, Anderson, and Korchemny knowingly distributed to athletes a prescription drug, human growth hormone a/k/a "HGH", without a valid prescription and for a purpose other than treatment of a disease or recognized medical condition for which its use had been authorized by the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services.
- 27. It was further part of the conspiracy to defraud that defendants Conte, Valente, and Korchemny knowingly dispensed to athletes a prescription drug, erythropoietin a/k/a/ "EPO" without a valid prescription and for the purpose of affecting the function of the body, to wit: increasing the red cell count in the bloodstream.
- 28. It was further a part of the conspiracy to defraud that defendants Conte, Valente, and Korchemny knowingly dispensed to athletes the prescription drug modafinil without a valid prescription and for the purpose of affecting the function of the body, to wit: increasing wakefulness and the ability to focus and think clearly.
- 29. It was further a part of the conspiracy to defraud that in connection with the distribution and dispensing of drugs described in paragraphs 24-28 above, the defendants Conte, Valente, Anderson, and Korchemny attempted to conceal their illegal activities from the Food and Drug Administration and law enforcement through the following techniques:
  - a. using false names on the mailing labels of packages containing drugs;
  - b. trafficking specifically in drugs which were either designed to avoid detection as controlled substances or substances for which no accurate testing

1	procedure existed;
2	c. referring to drugs in correspondence and conversation by shorthand abbreviations and codes, such as "The Cream," "C," "The Clear," "Liquid,"
3	"L," "G," "F," "P," and "Vitamin S;"
4	d. dispensing "The Cream" and "The Clear" in plain unlabeled plastic bottles without any directions for the use of the product, or information on the nature
5	of the substance;
6	e. informing the athletes receiving the substances of the need to be careful and secretive regarding their use of the drugs;
7	<ul> <li>f. providing athletes with false cover stories regarding the nature of the drugs to provide to authorities; and</li> </ul>
9	g. entering into agreements with athletes by which athletes provided
10	endorsements for ZMA, a nutritional supplement sold by Conte, in exchange for drugs, thus disguising a portion of the proceeds otherwise traceable to Conte.
11	OVERT ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CONSPIRACY
12	30. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to attain its ends, the defendants committed the
13	following overt acts, among others, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere:
14	a. On or about April 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente,
	and Korchemny distributed "Clear," "HGH," and "EPO" to a track and field athlete without a
16	valid prescription from a licensed practitioner.
17	b. On or about July 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente,
18	and Korchemny distributed "Clear," "HGH," and "EPO" to a professional track and field athlete
	without a valid prescription from a licensed practitioner.
21	c. On or about November 5, 2001, in San Mateo County, defendant Anderson
22	distributed "HGH" to a professional baseball player without a valid prescription from a licensed
	practitioner.
24	d. On or about December 1, 2001, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte,
25	Valente, and Korchemny distributed "Cream" and "Clear" to a track and field athlete without a
26	valid prescription from a licensed practitioner.
27	e. On or about February 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte,
28	Valente, and Anderson distributed "Cream" to a professional baseball player without a valid
	prescription from a licensed practitioner.
	INDICTMENT

1	f. On or about April 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and
2	Valente distributed "Cream" to a professional football player without a valid prescription from a
3	licensed practitioner.
4	g. On or about June 11, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente,
5	and Korchemny distributed modafinil to a track and field athlete without a valid prescription from
6	a licensed practitioner.
7	h. On or about July 13, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente,
8	and Anderson distributed "Clear" to a professional baseball player without a valid prescription
9	from a licensed practitioner.
10	i. On or about September 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and
11	Korchemny distributed "Cream" to a track and field athlete without a valid prescription from a
12	licensed practitioner.
13	j. On or about November 25, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendant Anderson
14	distributed "HGH" to a professional baseball player without a valid prescription from a licensed
15	practitioner.
16	k. On or about January 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente
17	and Anderson distributed "Cream" and "Clear" to a professional baseball player without a valid
18	prescription from a licensed practitioner.
19	l. On or about January 24, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte,
20	Valente, and Anderson distributed "Clear" to a professional baseball player without a valid
21	prescription from a licensed practitioner.
22	m. On or about March 5, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte, Valente,
23	and Anderson distributed "Clear" to a professional football player without a valid prescription
24	from a licensed practitioner.
25	n. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and
26	Korchemny distributed "EPO" to a track and field athlete without a valid prescription from a
27	licensed practitioner.
28	o. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and Valente

1	distributed "Cream" to a professional football player without a valid prescription from a licensed
2	practitioner.
3	p. On or about July 3, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendants Conte and Valente
4	distributed "Clear" and modafinil to a track and field athlete without a valid prescription from a
5	licensed practitioner.
6	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.
7	COUNT NINE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2 Introduction/Delivery for Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
9	31. On or about February 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
10	California, the defendants
11	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and GREG ANDERSON
	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
	commerce a drug they described as "The Cream," an anabolic steroid in the form of a
	testosterone-based cream, which was misbranded:
15 16	a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer
17	packer, or distributor; and
18	b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
19 20	dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of administration or application.
21	All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18,
Ì	United States Code, Section 2.
22 23	COUNT TEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2–Introduction/Delivery for Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And Mislead;
24	Aiding and Abetting)
25	32. On or about April 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
26	California, the defendants
27	VICTOR CONTE, JR., and JAMES VALENTE
28	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate

1	commerce a drug they described as "The Cream," an anabolic steroid in the form of a
2	testosterone-based cream, which was misbranded:
3 4	a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor; and
5	b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
6	directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
7	dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of administration or application.
8	All in violation of Title 21. United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
9	18, United States Code, Section 2.
10 11	COUNT ELEVEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Introduction/Delivery For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
12	33. On or about July 13, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
	California, the defendants
14	VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
15	JAMES VALENTE, and GREG ANDERSON
16	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
17	commerce a drug they described as "The Clear," also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a
18	"THG," which was misbranded:
19	a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer
20	packer, or distributor; and
21	b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in
22	those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
23	administration or application.
24	All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
25	18, United States Code, Section 2.
26	
27	<b>/</b> /
28	

1 2	COUNT TWELVE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2- Introduction/Delivery For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
3	34. On or about January 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4	California, the defendants
5	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and
6	GREG ANDERSON
7	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
8	commerce a drug they described as "The Cream," an anabolic steroid in the form of a
9	testosterone-based cream, which was misbranded:
10 11	<ul> <li>a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer packer, or distributor; and</li> </ul>
12	b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
13 14	directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of administration or application.
15	All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
16	18, United States Code, Section 2.
17 18	COUNT THIRTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Introduction/Delivery For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
19	35. On or about January 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
20	California, the defendants
21	VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
22	JAMES VALENTE, and GREG ANDERSON
23	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
24	commerce a drug they described as "The Clear," also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a
25	'THG," which was misbranded:
26 27	a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer packer, or distributor; and
28	b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in

	4
1	those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
2	administration or application.
3	All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
4	18, United States Code, Section 2.
5 6	COUNT FOURTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2– Introduction/Delivery For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
7	36. On or about January 24, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
8	California, the defendants
9	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and GREG ANDERSON
10	
	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
12	commerce a drug described as "The Clear," also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a "THG,"
13	which was misbranded:
14 15	<ul> <li>a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor; and</li> </ul>
16 17 18	b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of administration or application.
19	All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
20	18, United States Code, Section 2.
21	COUNT FIFTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2-
Introduction/Delivery For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With I To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)	
23	37. On or about March 5, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
24	California, the defendants
25 26	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and GREG ANDERSON
27	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
28	commerce a drug they described as "The Clear," also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a

1	"THG," which was misbranded:
2	<ul> <li>a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor; and</li> </ul>
<b>4</b> 5	b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of administration or application.
7	All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
8	18, United States Code, Section 2.
9 10	COUNT SIXTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Introduction/Delivery  For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And  Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
11	38. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
12	California, the defendants
13	VICTOR CONTE, JR. and JAMES VALENTE
14	
15	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
16	commerce a drug they described as "The Cream," an anabolic steroid in the form of a
17	testosterone-based cream, which was misbranded:
18	<ul> <li>a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer,</li> </ul>
19	packer, or distributor; and
20	b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate directions for use, and also did not bear adequate warnings against its use in
21	those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of
22	administration or application.
23	All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
24	18, United States Code, Section 2.
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 2	COUNT SEVENTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2– Introduction/Delivery For Introduction of Misbranded Drugs With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
3	39. On or about July 3, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4	California, the defendants
5	VICTOR CONTE, JR., and JAMES VALENTE
	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, introduce and deliver for introduction into interstate
	commerce a drug they described as "The Clear," also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a THG,
8	which was misbranded:
9	a) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(b), in that the drug was in package form and did not bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor; and
11	b) as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 352(f), in that its labeling failed to bear adequate
12	directions for use, and also failed to bear adequate warnings against its use in those pathological conditions or by children whereby its use might be
13	dangerous to health, or against unsafe dosage or methods or duration of administration or application.
14	All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title
15	18, United States Code, Section 2.
16 17	COUNT EIGHTEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding Of A Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
18	40. On or about April 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
19	California, the defendants
20 21	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and
22	REMI KORCHEMNY
23	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, the drug
24	they described as "The Clear," also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a "THG," to a consumer
25	without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in
26	the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to
27	21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2)
28	and Title 18. United States Code, Section 2.

1 2	COUNT NINETEEN: (21 U.S.C. §§331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding Of Drug Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
3	41. On or about April 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4	California, the defendants
5	VICTOR CONTE, JR., and JAMES VALENTE
5	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, human
7	growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
	practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
9	sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
10	21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section
11	<u>2</u> .
12	COUNT TWENTY: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding Of a Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
L <b>4</b>	42. On or about April 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
15	California, the defendants
L6	VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
L7	JAMES VALENTE, and REMI KORCHEMNY
	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically,
19	erythropoietin, a/k/a "EPO," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
20	practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
21	sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
22	21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section
23	$\frac{1}{2}$ .
24	
25	y ·
26	
27	
8 2	

1 2	COUNT TWENTY-ONE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding Of a Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
3	43. On or about July 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4	California, the defendants
5	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and
6	REMI KORCHEMNY
7	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, the drug
8	they described as "The Clear," also known as tetrahydragestrinone, a/k/a "THG," to a consumer
9	without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in
10	the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to
11	21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2)
12	and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
13 14	COUNT TWENTY-TWO: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding Of Drug Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
15	44. On or about July 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
16	California, the defendants
17	VICTOR CONTE, JR.,
18	JAMES VALENTE, and REMI KORCHEMNY
19	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically,
20	erythropoietin, a/k/a "EPO," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
21	practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
22	sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
23	21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section
24	2.
25	
26	
27	<b>/</b> /
28	

1 2	COUNT TWENTY-THREE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding Of Drug Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
3	45. On or about July 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4	California, the defendants
5	VICTOR CONTE, JR., and JAMES VALENTE
6	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, human
	growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
	practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
	sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
10	21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section
11	<u></u>
12 13	COUNT TWENTY-FOUR: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2)Misbranding of Drugs While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead)
14	46. On or about November 5, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
15	California, the defendant
16	GREG ANDERSON
17	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, human
18	growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
19	practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
20	sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
21	21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2).
	COUNT TWENTY-FIVE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding Of Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And
23	Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
24	47. On or about December 1, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
25 26	California, the defendants  VICTOR CONTE, JR.,  JAMES VALENTE, and  REMI KORCHEMNY
27	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, the drug
28	,

1	without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in
2	the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to
3	21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2),
4	and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
5	COUNT TWENTY-SIX: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding Of Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
7	48. On or about December 1, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
8	California, the defendants
9 10	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and REMI KORCHEMNY
11	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, the drug
12	they described as "The Cream," an anabolic steroid in the form of a testosterone-based cream, to a
13	consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which
14	resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce
15	pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k)
16	and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
17 18	COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding Of a Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
19	49. On or about June 11, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
20	California, the defendants
21 22	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and REMI KORCHEMNY
23	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, modafinil,
24	to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act
25	which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate
26	commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
27	Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
28	

1 2	COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and 18 U.S.C. § 2— Misbranding Of a Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
3	50. On or about September 1, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4 5	California, the defendants VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and REMI KORCHEMNY
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, the drug they described as "The Cream," an anabolic steroid in the form of a testosterone-based cream, to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.  COUNT TWENTY-NINE: (21 U.S.C. §§331(k) and 333(a)(2)Misbranding of a Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead)
15 16	51. On or about November 25, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
	GREG ANDERSON  did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, human
19 20	growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
21 22	sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21. United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2).
23	COUNT THIRTY: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding Of A Drug While Held For Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
~ a	
24 25 26	52. On or about June 1, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of California, the defendants
25 26 27 28	

1	erythropoietin, a/k/a "EPO," to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed
2	practitioner, which is deemed an act which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for
3	sale after shipment in interstate commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title
4	21, United States Code, Sections 331(a) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section
5	2.
6 7	COUNT THIRTY-ONE: (21 U.S.C. §§ 331(k) and 333(a)(2); 18 U.S.C. § 2Misbranding of A Drug While Held for Sale With Intent To Defraud And Mislead; Aiding and Abetting)
8	53. On or about July 3, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
9	California, the defendants
10 11	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and GREG ANDERSON
12	did, with the intent to defraud and mislead, dispense a prescription drug, specifically, modafinil,
13	to a consumer without the valid prescription of a licensed practitioner, which is deemed an act
14	which resulted in the drug being misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate
15	commerce pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 353(b)(1), in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
16	Sections 331(k) and 333(a)(2), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.
17 18	COUNT THIRTY-TWO: (21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(1); 18 U.S.C. § 2 Possession With Intent To Distribute Human Growth Hormone For Unauthorized Uses; Aiding and Abetting)
19	54. On or about April 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
20	California, the defendants
21	VICTOR CONTE, JR., and JAMES VALENTE
22	did knowingly possess with intent to distribute human growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," for a use in
23	humans other than the treatment of a disease or other recognized medical condition, where such
24	use had been authorized by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and pursuant to the order
25	of a physician, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 333(e)(1), and Title 18,
26	United States Code, Section 2.
27	
28	

1 2	COUNT THIRTY-THREE: (21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(1); 18 U.S.C. § 2 Possession With Intent To Distribute Human Growth Hormone For Unauthorized Uses; Aiding and Abetting)
3	55. On or about July 23, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
4	California, the defendants
5	VICTOR CONTE, JR., and JAMES VALENTE
6	did knowingly possess with intent to distribute human growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," for a use in
7	humans other than the treatment of a disease or other recognized medical condition, where such
8	use had been authorized by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and pursuant to the order
9	of a physician, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 333(e)(1), and Title 18,
10	United States Code, Section 2.
11 12	COUNT THIRTY-FOUR: (21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(1) Possession With Intent To Distribute Human Growth Hormone For Unauthorized Uses)
13	56. On or about November 5, 2001, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
14	California, the defendant
15	GREG ANDERSON
16	did knowingly possess with intent to distribute human growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," for a use in
17	humans other than the treatment of a disease or other recognized medical condition, where such
18	use had been authorized by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and pursuant to the order
19	of a physician, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 333(e)(1).
20	COUNT THIRTY-FIVE: (21 U.S.C. § 333(e)(1) Possession With Intent to Distribute Human Growth Hormone For Unauthorized Uses)
21	57. On or about November 25, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District
22	of California, the defendant
23	GREG ANDERSON
24 25	did knowingly possess with intent to distribute human growth hormone, a/k/a "HGH," for a use in
	humans other than the treatment of a disease or other recognized medical condition, where such
	use had been authorized by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and pursuant to the order
28	of a physician, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 333(e)(1).

1	COUNT THIRTY-SIX: (18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)Conspiracy to Launder Monetary Instruments)
2	58. The allegations contained in paragraphs One through Twelve and Counts One
3	through Seven of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated herein.
4	59. On or about and between December 1, 2001, and September 3, 2003, in San Mateo
5	County, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendants
6	VICTOR CONTE, JR., JAMES VALENTE, and
7	GREG ANDERSON,
8	and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to
9	conduct financial transactions affecting interstate commerce which in fact involved the proceeds
10	of specified unlawful activity, that is, a conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to
11	distribute anabolic steroids, a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code,
12	Section 846, knowing that the transactions were designed at least in part to conceal and disguise
13	the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, and the control of the proceeds of the specified
14	unlawful activity, while knowing that the money involved in such financial transactions
15	represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18, United States
16	Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).
17	MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY
18	60. The manner and means by which the conspiracy was carried out included the
19	following:
20	a. From on or about December 1, 2001 through September 3, 2003, the
21	defendants engaged in specified unlawful activity, to wit, conspiring to distribute and possess with
22	intent to distribute anabolic steroids, as charged in Count One of the Indictment.
23	b. In order to conceal the proceeds of their unlawful activity, the defendants: (i)
24	segregated proceeds derived from the sale of anabolic steroids from normal business proceeds by
25	placing the criminal proceeds into a personal bank account; and (ii) used a third party to negotiate
26	checks written as payment for the purchase of anabolic steroids, rather than depositing the checks
27	as normal business proceeds.
28	

## OVERT ACTS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CONSPIRACY

- 61. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to attain its ends, the defendants committed the following overt acts, among others, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere:
- a. On or about March 13, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendant Conte deposited a check in the amount of \$950 from a track and field athlete into his personal checking account.
- b. On or about June 4, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendant Conte deposited a check in the amount of \$960 from a track and field athlete into his personal checking account.
- c. On or about August 20, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendant Conte deposited a check in the amount of \$1,700 from a professional football player into his personal checking account.
- d. On or about September 27, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendant Conte deposited a check in the amount of \$1,250 from a professional football player into his personal checking account.
- e. On or about December 5, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendant Anderson caused another individual to cash a check in the amount of \$1,000 from a professional baseball player.
- f. On or about December 17, 2002, in San Mateo County, defendant Anderson caused another individual to cash a check in the amount of \$730 from a professional baseball player.
- g. On or about March 13, 2003, in San Mateo County, defendant Conte deposited a check in the amount of \$300 from a track and field athlete into his personal checking account.
- h. On or about April 8, 2003, defendant Anderson caused another individual to cash a check in the amount of \$1,200 from a professional baseball player.
  - All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

б

1	COUNT THIRTY-SEVEN: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2Money Laundering; Aiding and Abetting)
2	62. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
3	realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
4	63. On or about June 4, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
5 6	California, the defendants
7	VICTOR CONTE, JR., and JAMES VALENTE
8	did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, to wit: by depositing
9	a check in the amount of \$960 into defendant Conte's personal checking account, which in fact
10	involved the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and
11	possess with intent to distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the
12	transaction was designed at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source,
13	ownership, and control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the
14	money involved in such financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful
15	activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.
16	COUNT THIRTY-EIGHT: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2Money Laundering; Aiding and Abetting)
17 18	64. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
19	realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
20	65. On or about August 20, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
	California, the defendants
22	VICTOR CONTE, JR., and JAMES VALENTE
23	did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting commerce, to wit: by depositing a check
24	in the amount of \$1,700 into defendant Conte's personal checking account, which in fact involved
25	the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and possess with
26	intent to distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the transaction was
27	designed at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and
28	control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the money involved

- 1	
1	in such financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in
2	violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.
3	COUNT THIRTY-NINE: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2Money Laundering; Aiding and Abetting)
4	66. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
5	realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
6	67. On or about September 27, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
7	California, the defendants
9	VICTOR CONTE, JR., and JAMES VALENTE
10	did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, to wit: by depositing
11	a check in the amount of \$1,250 into defendant Conte's personal checking account, which in fact
12	involved the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and
13	possess with intent to distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the
14	transaction was designed at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source,
15	ownership, and control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the
16	money involved in such financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful
17	activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.
18	COUNT FORTY: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2; — Money Laundering; Willfully Causing)
19	68. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
	realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
21	69. On or about December 5, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
22	California, the defendant
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	GREG ANDERSON
25	did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, to wit: by willfully
26	causing another individual to cash a check in the amount of \$1,000, which in fact involved the
27	proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and possess with
28	intent to distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the transaction was
20	designed at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and

1	control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the money involved
2	in such financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in
3	violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.
<b>4</b> 5	COUNT FORTY-ONE: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2– Money Laundering; Willfully Causing)
	70. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
6	realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
7	71. On or about December 17, 2002, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
8	California, the defendant
9	GREG ANDERSON
10	did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, to wit: by willfully
11	causing another individual to cash a check in the amount of \$730, which in fact involved the
13	proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and possess with
14	intent to distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the transaction was
15	designed at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and
16	control of the proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the money involved
17	in such financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in
18	violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.
19	COUNT FORTY-TWO: (18 U.S.C. §§1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2– Money Laundering; Willfully Causing)
20	72. Paragraphs One through Twelve, and Count One of this Indictment, are hereby
21	realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
22	73. On or about April 8, 2003, in San Mateo County, in the Northern District of
23	California, the defendant
24	GREG ANDERSON
25	did knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, to wit: by causing
26	another individual to cash a check in the amount of \$1,200, which in fact involved the proceeds of
27	a specified unlawful activity, that is, the conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to
28	distribute anabolic steroids as set forth in Count One, knowing that the transaction was designed
į	

28

INDICTMENT

1	at least in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the
2	proceeds of the specified unlawful activity, while knowing that the money involved in such
3	financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in violation of
4	Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).
5	CRIMINAL FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: (21 U.S.C. §§ 853 and 881(a)Drug Forfeiture)
5	74. The allegations contained in paragraphs One through Twelve and Counts One
7	through Seven of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated herein.
8	75. As a result of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Seven above, defendants
9	VICTOR CONTE IR
10	VICTOR CONTE, JR. JAMES VALENTE, GREG ANDERSON, and
11	REMI KORCHEMNY
12	shall forfeit to the United States all right, title and interest in property constituting and derived
13	from any proceeds, the defendants obtained, directly or indirectly, as a result of said violations,
14	and any property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the
15	commission of the said violations, including but not limited to the following property:
16	a. approximately \$63,920 in United States currency seized at the residence of Greg Anderson on September 3, 2003;
17 18	b. a sum of money equal to the total amount of proceeds defendants derived from the commission of said offenses.
19	76. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant, any of said property
20	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
21	b. has been transferred or sold to or deposited with, a third person;
22	c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
23	d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
24	e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without
25	difficulty;
26	any and all interest defendants have in any other property (not to exceed the value of the above
27	forfeitable property) shall be forfeited to the United States.
28	All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 853(a)(1), (p), and 881(a) and

1	Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
2	CRIMINAL FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: (18 U.S.C. § 982Money Laundering Forfeiture)
3	77. The allegations contained in paragraphs One through Twelve and Counts Thirty-Six
4	through Forty-two of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated herein.
5	78. As a result of a conviction of the offenses alleged in Counts Thirty-Six through Fort
6	Two above, the defendants
7 8	VICTOR CONTE JR., JAMES VALENTE, and GREG ANDERSON
9	shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1), all
10	right, title and interest in property, real and personal, involved in said violation, or any property
11	traceable to such property, including but not limited to the following:
12	a. all commissions, fees and other property constituting proceeds of said offense
13	<ul> <li>b. all property used in any manner to commit or facilitate the commission of said offense;</li> </ul>
14 15	c. a sum of money equal to the total amount of money involved in the commission of said offense.
16	79. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants, any of said property
17	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
18	b. has been transferred or sold to or deposited with, a third person;
19	c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
20	d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
21	e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without
22	difficulty:
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	any and all interest defendants have in any other property, up to value of the property described in
2	paragraph 2 above, shall be forfeited to the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States
3	Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1).
4	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982, 1956(h), and Rule 32.2 of
5	the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
6	DATED: A TRUE BILL.
7	DATED.
8	FOREPERSON
9	KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney
10	
11	ROSS W. NADEL
12	Chief, Criminal Division
13	(Approved as to form:)
14	AUSA NEDROW
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	